

Minutes of a Regular Board Meeting of the Town Board of the Town of Riverhead held in the Town Hall, Riverhead, New York, on Tuesday, March 21, 1989 at 7:30 p.m.

Present: Joseph F. Janoski, Supervisor
John Lombardi, Councilman
Louis Boschetti, Councilman
Robert Pike, Councilman
Denise Civiletti, Councilwoman

Also Present: Patricia Moore, Town Attorney
Irene J. Pendzick Town Clerk

Supervisor Janoski called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. and the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Councilwoman Civiletti offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Boschetti.

RESOLVED, That the Minutes of Regular Board Meeting of February 28, and March 7, 1989 and Minutes of Public Hearing for the Wading River Hamlet Study held on March 1, 1989 are dispensed and approved without objection."

The vote, Boschetti, yes, Pike, yes, Civiletti, yes, Lombardi, yes, Janoski, yes.

The resolution was thereupon duly declared adopted.

Supervisor Janoski, "Reports."

REPORTS

Tax Receiver-Collections as of 3/20/89, 19,000,299.67 Filed

Riverhead Teen Center-Annual Report or 1988. Filed

Riverhead Juvenile Aid Bureau-Annual Report for 1988. Filed

Planning Boards, 3/17/89-Recommends Approval of Site Plans of LILCO and Blackman Corp. Filed

Supervisor's Office-East End Commons DEIS submitted for review (3/14/89) and Casilen Associates DEIS submitted for review (3/9/89). Filed

OPEN BID REPORT - Water Service Materials Filed

(See Water District Minutes)

OPEN BID REPORT - Water Meters Filed

(See Water District Minutes)

OPEN BID REPORT - Private Water Services Filed

(See Water District Minutes)

OPEN BID REPORT - SUBMERSIBLE PUMP/SEWER DISTRICT Filed

(See Sewer District Minutes)

OPEN BID REPORT - POLYMER SYSTEM/SEWER DISTRICT Filed

(See Sewer District Minutes)

OPEN BID REPORT- Sound Level Meter Equipment/Police Filed

Bid Date: March 14, 1989
1 Bid Submitted

#1 NAME: Bruel & Kjaer
ADDRESS: West Caldwell, NJ
TOTAL BID: \$12,607.59

OPEN BID REPORT - Hazardous Waste Containment Facility Filed

Bid Date: March 14, 1989
1 Bid Submitted

#1 NAME: Safety Storage
ADDRESS: Cupertino, California
TOTAL BID: \$16,464.00

OPEN BID REPORT - Bay Constable Boat Filed

Bid Date: March 14, 1989
4 Bids Submitted

#1 NAME: White Water Marine, Inc.
ADDRESS: Sayville, NY
TOTAL BID: \$37,500

#2 NAME: Raynor & Mitchell
ADDRESS: Westhampton, NY

TOTAL BID: \$40,868.00

#3 **NAME:** Steins Boat Sales, Inc.
ADDRESS: Sayville, NY
TOTAL BID: \$38,939.00

#4 **NAME:** Thomas Marine, Inc.
ADDRESS: Sayville, NY
TOTAL BID: \$46,600/100 hp
\$49,000/155 hp

OPEN BID REPORT Furnish and Place Asphalt

Filed

*Bid Date: March 14, 1989
9 Bids Submitted*

#1 **NAME:** Pavco Asphalt
ADDRESS: Holtsville, NY
TOTAL BID: See file for per item total

#2 **NAME:** Mt. Hope Asphalt Corp.
ADDRESS: Hicksville, NY
TOTAL BID: See file for per item total

#3 **NAME:** South Fork Asphalt, Inc.
ADDRESS: East Hampton, NY
TOTAL BID: See file for per item total

#4 **NAME:** John T. Montecalvo, Inc.
ADDRESS: Speonk, NY
TOTAL BID: See file for per item total

#5 **NAME:** Thos. H. Gannon & Sons, Inc.
ADDRESS: Middle Island, NY

TOTAL BID: See file for per item total

#6 NAME: Bi-County Paving Corp.
ADDRESS: East Setauket, NY
TOTAL BID: See file for per item total

#7 NAME: Paul Corrizini & Sons, Inc.
ADDRESS: Greenport, NY
TOTAL BID: See file for per item total

#8 NAME: Ascon Distributing
ADDRESS: Bay Shore, NY
TOTAL BID: See file for per item total

#9 NAME: Bimasco, Inc.
ADDRESS: Hauppauge, NY
TOTAL BID: See file for per item total

Supervisor Janoski, "Applications."

APPLICATIONS & PETITIONS

Site Plan-Long Island Lighting Co. -add 1,620 square feet at
600 Doctors Path. Filed

Site Plan-Kaye Plaza-retail store complex of 21, 412 square
feet at Kay Road and Rte. 25, Calverton. Filed

Site Plan-Riverhead Dodge-interior renovations at 624 West
Main Street. Filed

Site Plan-Living Water Full Gospel Church-extend existing
building, Hubbard Avenue. Filed

Special Permit-Euro-Tech Renovations-install and operate a
spray booth at 53 Raynor Avenue. Filed

Parade Permit-Jamesport Fire Dept. for 7/19/89. Filed

Archaeological Survey, 2/89-Submitted by the DiCanio Organi-
zation for Seawatch Landing. Filed

CORRESPONDENCE

Weber & Singer, 3/14/89-Requesting access to all transcripts of hearings re: National Survival Games. Filed

Arthur McCombe, 3/15/89-Submitting newspaper clippings re: LILCO. Filed

High Orchard Corp., 3/10/89-Requesting opportunity to propose provisions for access to beach. Filed

Pine Barrens Comm., 3/7/89-Advising that amendments to Sections 108-95; 108-60; and Article XXVI are matters for local determination. Filed

Warren McKnight, 3/9/89-Advising of five-month period from submission of letter to newspaper to printing it. Filed

Enviro-Qual Associates, 3/4/89-Requesting that they be contacted upon receipt of funds from Long Lake Industries re: DEIS review. Filed

Allen Smith, 3/14/89-Observing that the number of units at the William Hubbard project is not as much an issue as the number of bedrooms. He refers to several definitions of "high density" and observes that further review will be done when site plan is submitted. Filed

John V.N Klein, 3/16/89-Submits letter of protest on behalf of Deane and Ernest Lewin, objecting to proposed zone change in Wading River. Filed

Southold Town, 3/17/89-Notice of adoption of Local Law #3-89 relating to zoning. Filed

Supervisor Janoski, "Thank you. We have a list of Unfinished Business. Its status is reported on the agenda. The first scheduled public hearing is for 7:45. That time has not yet arrived. I would recognize anyone wishing to be heard on any subject. Yes, Paul."

Paul Baker, "I'm the president of the Organization of Riverhead Civic Associations. We are disappointed at the recent Board decision to increase densities in the Mill Pond Commons project. We have constantly stated our position that residential development does not help our tax base. It destroys it. We know that you are presently considering the Hubbard Duck Farm project. That land is presently zoned Business "A". The developer would like you to change that business use to a residential use for a condominiums. Please say no. Put an end to the attack on our tax base. There are many alternative business uses that could greatly add to our tax base. Send out the word that residential development must be developed along with business development or

we will all pay the price for many years to come. Thank you very much."

Supervisor Janoski, "Is there anyone else wishing to be heard? Yes."

Sherry Johnson, Manorville, "Last Friday, I received the letter that you now have before you in the mail. From what I could gather yesterday, no one other than the Supervisor was aware that it was going to be sent to thousands of property owners within the Peconic River Corridor. I was a little angry over its contents. The letter presents a one sided point of view that I resent having to pay for. This mailing was not educational, informative, nor did it ask citizens for their point of view. In fact, I feel it was written to deliberately scare and anger the property owners and it's a concertive attempt to have a lynch mob on hand to welcome the state officials at the hearings on March 29th. I really feel that sending this letter was irresponsible and that the post cards that were also enclosed were outrageous. And from what I understand, it wasn't even a legal use of your bulk rate permit. As of yesterday, the post master was holding the cards that had been mailed so that he could bill the town for postage due. I have worked very hard over the past five years to secure protection for all areas within the Peconic River Corridor. It's a great resource. Riverhead gets its name from that river. We should be proud that it possesses qualities that have allowed it to be included under the rivers act. The fact that such a large portion of the river still qualifies as scenic is remarkable. Instead of insighting property owners with this kind of letter, you should concentrate on helping them understand and adjust to part 666 regulations. Instead of accusing the state of a taking, why don't you ask yourself what you can do. You kick and scream that the state is taking away your power but you aren't even using the powers that you have. You could act to rezone some of the areas, especially in the industrial sites south of River Road and below the L.I.R.R. right-of-way near Forge Road. The areas that are right on the river back, you could create new districts and uses that encourage the type of development the state will okay. Do you have any idea how many people paddle the panoramic Peconic each year. You should act to encourage businesses that can take advantage and develop this tourism trade. I really feel that you should do everything in your power to see that the river is protected to as great an extent as possible and that you ought to look at your own creativeness to see how you can help before you come down so hard on the state's efforts to protect this river for all of us and future generations. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski, "Is there anyone else present wishing to be heard? Mr. Pike has the floor."

Councilman Pike, "Mr. Supervisor, this is obviously going to be one of the more interesting evenings in the recent history of the Riverhead Town Board and I thought it would be fair at

this time to let a few of the folks in this room who are, I hope going to contribute on all measures to the reasoning of the debate about the future of the Town of Riverhead to a higher level about the welcoming committee that we all had coming in here tonight. We have, as a practice in the Town of Riverhead, attempted to negotiate our contracts with civil servants in the confines of private negotiations with diplomatic exchanges of views and opinions. And I for some reason, decided to take on the challenge of handling of the contract negotiations in this year which without any doubt, proved to be and continues to prove to be the most challenging career decision I've ever made. Your experiencing that as you come in the door. I had a good friend, a mentor, my father actually, who said to me once, Robert there are two things in life you should never watch being made. Sausage and the law. And I think I'm going to be able to, at the end of this experience, add to that contract negotiations in public. But I do think the people of the Town of Riverhead who are being asked to pay the tab that they're calling for out there, should have a fully informed and rationally presented picture of exactly what it is that those folks out there at the peak of emotion, are asking for. In what they're saying right now to you, they finally got it right."

Supervisor Janoski, "Excuse me for a minute. LeRoy, are those outside doors open? They are. LeRoy, would you step out and see.... Good choice. Is there a police officer in the room? Could I have my telephone? I'm sorry Rob."

Councilman Pike, "What I was saying is that there is a tendency that when people disagree, particularly when they disagree about fundamental things like family and equity and income and security, land, when they get to a point where they can't agree, to personalize things. To assume that the other guy is a real son of a b----. It happens all the time throughout the town. The fact is.... And what I would like to do is throw a series of fairly large bucket of hard and cold facts on a fire that is burning outside. So let me just lay it forth for you. The facts are as follows. When we were first contacted by the CSEA, they presented to us their proposals. I'm going to give you an outline of those proposals. An outline. It is twenty.... I'm sorry. Thirty-four items long. It includes: forcing members who don't want to be in the union to be in the union; time off for employees in the organization to go to other meetings of other organizations; a reduction of work hours to 30 hours per week. And again, I'm skimming over a great deal of this. A reduction of hours who work in the Police Department from what everybody else works to what the police work, 238 days; overtime calculated at greater levels than it is now; Christmas Eve as a holiday; New Years Eve as a holiday, day after Thanksgiving a holiday and something called a floating holiday. They want something that we did agree to; child rearing leave. They want bereavement leave increased substantially. Again, I'm skipping over. They have increased substantially the amount of vacation time that they get. It's an entire shift. They want more sick

leave; more pay for seniority, a new grievance procedure that would be out of any control of the Town Board; a new pension plan; longevity increases; after 10 years, 15 years, 20 years, 25 and that's in addition to the salary schedule which increases over time. They want 100% of the health insurance paid where they currently pay 75. They want life insurance to be increased from 20,000 to 50,000. They want the town to provide their attorneys at no cost to them. They want uniforms for all of the parking folks. We do provide compensation for that now. They want to move payday up. They want to be able to alternate negotiation members so that if you don't agree with the first five, you chuck them out and another five can come in. The second set of requests we got. They want shift differential to increase by \$1,600. I don't even know what shift differential is yet. They want a day care center; tuition reimbursement; new merit pay increases; recall pay; more overtime pay; a yearly licensing allotment; compensatory time; compensation. Now they not only want a raise, initially they asked for I believe, 15% in both years. But they want to be shifted up on the schedule too. Now, there's a few more items. When we were first presented with this list, I knew that we were in for a substantial challenge. That negotiating with that level of expectations would be difficult. And it turns out that we are really at a crossroads here because in the past the union has been quite successful. Now, if you figure out what the last negotiation works out into real dollars, it worked out to 13% increase per year. But if you take what they requested there and you take every employee and give every one of them what they ask for, (and I will make this available to the public as it has been available to us) longevity you pay alone and this is a stunning number. It would have gone up 396%. Base pay would have gone up 40%. The total tab to the taxpayers of the Town of Riverhead had we given them everything they want, would have gone up 64.99%. Now, I don't know what they think I got hired to do. But I guarantee you that I did not get hired to give that away. And frankly, I had to be firm and strident and say no. And I had to say no again. And I had to say no again. And I had to say no again. And you say no to people enough times, they're not going to take too kindly to you. The fact is that every position we've taken throughout these negotiations, has been fully determined by this Board on a unanimous consensus basis. We are all in agreement about saying no to ridiculous pay increases. Even after all of the negotiations, all of the mediation that has ensued since that proposal was first put on the table, their current proposal which we have similarly analyzed, calls for; (and this is their compromise position) a 319% in longevity pay; a 9.55, almost 10% increase in base pay; various other percentages of things that are still left. Uniform expenses to the town would go up over 1,300%. The bottom line is this; we gave them everything they're currently asking for. The budgetary amount that would have to be paid to employees would go up 20% this year. Twenty percent in one fell swoop. And frankly, I had to say no to that too. A very simple reason. This town can't afford it. And that is the calling card that we have left at the table. Now, the

154

interesting thing about the demonstration tonight is; we're not in negotiations anymore. We're past negotiations. We're past mediations. We're in what is similar to a trial proceeding and it is called fact finding. And at that fact finding, we get to put on their case and they get to put on our case. There's no table for us to return to at the moment and agree to anything that they're asking for and I think that's really the unfortunate part of all of this. Because one; this is a tough time and everybody is going to have to hold the line a little bit more. You can't expect to get certainly 20%. Even the 13% that they got the last time, if you add up the whole package. The Town Board has a cost of living increase in this proposal. That's what we've put on the table. It's a raise but it's a cost of living raise. There's a few other nice bells and whistles, things that bring this town into the modern age like the idea of parentage leave. If either of the parents have a new born child and would like to take it off and tend to their parenting responsibilities. That's on the table. The fact is, we have 5% in the budget. We can afford to pay that and we're willing to do so. I think, Mr. Supervisor, that basically summarizes the facts of where we are in these negotiations and it would be very easy to take all of this personally but I think what we're seeing tonight is a representation, a graphic representation of what it's going to be like going through the next generation of government here because expectations have gotten extremely high. And the ability to deliver them, has gotten increasingly less strong. These are tough times. I don't think any time soon they are going to tougher. I hope and would ask members of the union who do care about really settling this thing, to come to a reasonable level of what we can afford to pay and to come back to earth about what this town can do for its employees. It is the unanimous opinion of the Town Board that we have to hold the line on this budget. And any other alternative is a tax increase. And frankly, we are unanimously and unalterably opposed to that in this generation and this time. Thank you Mr. Supervisor."

Supervisor Janoski, "Let the record show that the hour of 7:54 p.m. has arrived. The Town Clerk will please read the notice of public hearing."

PUBLIC HEARING - 7:45 p.m.

I have affidavits of publishing and posting for a public hearing to be held at Riverhead Town Hall on Tuesday, March 21, 1989 at 7:45 p.m. to hear all interested persons who wish to be heard regarding: **Development of Town of Riverhead Community Development Block Grant Application for fiscal year 1989.**

Supervisor Janoski, "This is Andrea's first presentation as Director of the Community Development Office in the Town of Riverhead and she will be presenting ideas and proposals for our application for funding. Andrea."

Andrea Lohneiss, "Members of the Board, ladies and gentlemen. The Small Cities Community Development Block Grant Program administered by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development grants funds to units of local government to undertake certain Community Development activities. In order to receive funds, the activities proposed by a local government must meet one of the national objectives including benefit to low and moderate income families, aid in the prevention or elimination of slum and blight, other community development needs having particular urgency because existing conditions pose a serious and immediate threat to the health or welfare of the community. Since the program is competitive in nature, those applications selected for funding will be those of communities having the greatest needs, whose applications most adequately address their locally determined needs. The maximum amount of funds for which any local government may make application is 400,000. The types of activities which are eligible for Small Cities funding include the acquisition and disposition or property, construction of public facilities and improvements, clearance activities, provision of public services, interim assistance, payment of local share for matching grants, urban renewal completion, relocation and loss of income payments, removal of architectural barriers to the handicap, activities related to privately owned utilities, rehabilitation of public residential structures, public housing modernization, rehabilitation of private properties, temporary relocation assistance, code enforcement, historic preservation, certain economic development activities, assistance to private nonprofit entities, neighborhood based nonprofit organizations, local development corporations or small business investment companies, planning and urban environmental design costs, fair housing counseling services, provision of assistance to facilitate performance and payment bonding, property management, activities to facilitate the implementation of a housing assistance plan, environmental studies and the construction or rehabilitation of public facilities. This hearing is intended to provide the public, the citizens of the Town of Riverhead with the opportunity to comment on the development of the proposed application as well as upon the performance of any active Community Development Block Grant activity. Recent activities funded by this program have included an ongoing home improvement loan program, a single family acquisition and rehab program, an economic loan program, downtown waterfront revitalization, drainage improvements in the Horton Avenue area, road improvements and extensions of public water in the Hulse Landing area, historic preservation activities at Vail-Leavitt Music Hall, at Hallockville and an affordable housing subdivision of single family homes for low and moderate income families. On Friday, March 31, 1989, the proposed application will be available for inspection at the Town of Riverhead Community Development Office located in this building, 200 Howell Avenue, Riverhead. Further information concerning the Small Cities Program may obtained here at the same address. The Riverhead Community Development Agency urges the citizens of the Town of

Riverhead and all other interested parties to participate in this important hearing. This is the opportunity for the public to provide input and ideas for inclusion in this years application. And application which is competitive in nature and to the maximum extent feasible must benefit low and moderate income people. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski, "Is there anyone present wishing to address the Board? Yes."

George Woody, Sound Avenue, "Tonight I'm speaking on behalf of the Hallockville Farm Museum off of Sound Avenue in Jamesport. I speak as a member of the Board. Mr. Silverman is also a Board member. Tonight I just have a short statement which I'd like to read to you outlining our requests under the Small Cities Block Grant Program. In 1982 the restoration of the large 19th century barn at the Hallockville Museum Farm was made possible in part with funding received from the Riverhead Community Development Office. We appreciate this support. The barn has become a very important facet of our facility. Last year we have over 10,000 visitors to the museum site. Our steady increase of public interest, requests for more school programs for schools and senior citizens, our recent award of multi-year funding for the arts and education program from the New York State Council of the Arts, certainly attests to the importance of the Hallockville Museum Farm to the Riverhead area. We have been successful in obtaining additional land from LILCO which has enabled us to relocate the Samuel Hudson house adjacent to our two and half acre historic registered listed site. This house will be used for offices, programs, public assembly and services and will thus free up the Hallock house and barn for more museum exhibit areas and interpretation. Currently, storage and offices are in rooms that really should be open to the public as museum spaces. Once again we are turning to you for support. We had developed an action plan for the Hudson house which is attached in the information packets that we handed out. On page number six you will find our proposed budget. We are asking your assistance in the work involving the septic field, water hookup and plumbing for the handicap bathroom facilities in the Hudson house. The estimate for this work is \$10,000. We appreciate your consideration of this request. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski, "Thank you. Is there anyone else present? Yes sir."

Scott Tighe, Calverton, "I've spoken in front of the Board on several occasions concerning water in my community. I'm back again. Currently we have 65 houses in Calverton Acres. We have well water. We are adjacent to a farm and we have contaminated water. It's been the opinion of several members of the Board that my community will not, in the near future and I also interpret it to be ever, be hooked up to the Riverhead water system. Are other avenue is private companies. And as I was looking through this, I would like to first.... I don't have all

the information on receiving any part of this fund but would like the help. Provisions for public service and also number ten; activities related to privately owned utilities which my point is Mr. Reeves water company, Shorewood Water would be a possibility for us and I would to pursue it to see if we can get money towards that cause. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski, "Yes."

Michael Reichel, Aqueboque, "I was one of the recipients of the Town's affordable housing project and I would like to see a lot more stuff along those lines done through the town through the federal grant. There was only 22 house available and I was lucky enough to be one of them. There's a lot more people out there who are in the same boat that I'm in just starting out, just starting a family and just isn't able to make ends meet with the high mortgages that they are going for now. And with the town's affordable housing project, I was able to get a house and we will be closing on it soon. And I'd like to see more of that done. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski, "Thank you Michael. Is there anyone else present having any idea or suggestions as far as the application? Mr. Schmelzer.."

George Schmelzer, "I'm waiting for any subject."

Supervisor Janoski, "This is on this hearing for Community Development. Is there anyone else wishing to address the Board on this subject of the Community Development Block Grant? Way in the back, yes."

Minister Clara Williams, "Good evening. I'm the president of Millbrook Gables Association and I want to say to the Board this afternoon, I want to thank you for helping us in our endeavor to clean up and I really appreciate it. And especially to Councilman Lombardi that has worked so closely with us. We thank you for your assistance in helping us to improve our community. I thank you for your new lights that have been put there, the pavement of the road and for sending the Health Department to help us control some of the houses that were in such bad shape, for the patrol cars that you have to assist us. However, what is not being addressed is the substantial housing that exists in the community and I want to talk about that now. Later I want to talk about sewers. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski, "Thank you. Is there anyone else present wishing to address the Board? Mr. Silverman."

Henry Silverman, Sound Shore Road, "Back a couple of years ago on the same grant you started an Iron Pier Project to improve the ramp and all that. It's been a fiasco. Nothing has been done up there. Since it doesn't sound like we've spent \$400,000 yet, maybe we could spend quite a bit of at Iron Pier and get

something up there with the ramp. It was started. So somewhere in a file there's a whole file full of stuff to be done. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski, "Thank you Henry. Is there anyone else present wishing to be heard at this time concerning our application to the federal government? That being the case and without objection, I declare the hearing to be closed. Let the record show that the hour of 8:12 p.m. has arrived. The Town Clerk will please read the notice of public hearing."

PUBLIC HEARING - 7:45 p.m.

I have affidavits of publishing and posting for a public hearing to be held at Riverhead Town Hall on Tuesday, March 21, 1989 at 7:45 p.m. to hear all interested persons who wish to be heard regarding: **Increase and Improvements of the Riverhead Sewer District Facilities to include the acquisition and installation of a polymer feed system and submersible pump at a cost not to exceed \$21,200.**

(See Sewer and Scavenger Waste District Minutes)

7:45 PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED AT 8:15

Supervisor Janoski, "Before we move on to the resolutions, I would recognize anyone wishing to be heard. I see a hand way in the back. Mr. Klein."

John Klein, "Supervisor, members of the Board. I appear here tonight on behalf of Ernest and Dean Lewin and on behalf of the Long Island Farm Bureau. I know that one of the subject on your agenda has been the subject of a public hearing. But in light of the unanticipated potential for decision making tonight contrary to what had been reported in the press, on their behalf I wish to make a brief but I hope informative statement. As you know, recent news stories said there would not, or it was not anticipated that there would be a decision tonight with respect to that portion of the hamlet contemplated zone which pertained to the farm neighborhood zone. While that news story is certainly not binding upon this Board, I think you should be aware of the fact that it has essentially placed the farming community pretty off balance in that it had to pull together the various and disparate components of its constituency and try to get here tonight to express their opinions with respect to that issue. Several of the principals and persons of Lyle Wells and the Executive Director of the Farm Bureau of deed in Washington have called from Washington to ask that their interests be represented. I would like to make just one point here tonight on behalf of Lewin's and on behalf of the entire farming community and it relates to resolution 240 which is on your agenda. That resolution, I understand, purports to approve a proposed change in the zoning ordinance and in the map so as to essentially

rezone much of the property, indeed, 500 acres of the Lewin property from various categories to either a lower density residential zone in one case. And in the most effected portion, to the farm neighborhood zone. As the clerk has properly indicated, the Lewins have filed a protest to that under the section of the law which pertains to such matters, section 265 of the Town Law. In that they represent approximately 50% of the real estate that's effected by that. So they have a real interest in what you do on this issue. But tonight's issue goes far beyond the Lewin. It goes as a signal to Riverhead's agricultural community at to how this Board views their repeated pleas over the past three years to recognize and honor the contribution of agriculture to the heritage and economy and quality of life of this town. As we've said many times perhaps at nauseum to this Board, the on again off again moves by the town to upzone either directly or indirectly Riverhead's farmlands are direct thrusts at the heartline of the farmer's ability to survive. Resolution 240 is no exception. The agricultural community needs money to fund their businesses on a year by year basis. That is a very simply primitive but essential fact. Without it, they are out of business. And if you don't believe that, ask any one of them who have been struggling to survive for one, two, three, five, six generations. The source of that money is the borrowing collatorized by the value of the land. That value is based on the highest and best use and that is based upon the density of residential use by zoning which has been in place for many many years in this town. You cut that yield in half or worse as is proposed by the application of the farm neighborhood zone to the Lewin's property, you dramatically decrease the value by anybody's measure and I emphasize that. Anybody's measure who is knowledgeable about real estate in this region. And you thereby kick the props from under their operating capital power. Seated here before you tonight are as many members of the farm, your farm constituency as could be gathered by these late hours and short notices of the proposed decision making process which is here tonight. With real justification, lady and gentlemen, they see your decision on the Lewin's future here tonight as your decision on the future of agricultural as a whole. I urge you to keep that in mind as you cast your vote on resolution on 240 and reject it and send a message to the agriculturists of Riverhead that their part in building and maintaining the quality of life in Riverhead has not been forgotten. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski, "Is there anyone else wishing to be heard at this time? Alice."

Alice Graff, Riverhead, "My statement relates to the town marina at Jamesport that is currently under contract with Larry Galasso. From the 1988 data that I have checked, it appears there were 18 dock spaces occupied by persons with summer homes or friends of or related to persons living in our township. Three of the boats docked were by persons that live out of Riverhead but work in our town. Two persons that live out of

town but own businesses in Riverhead docked boats at this marina. Eleven residents from the township of Riverhead had boats docked at this marina. A total of 78 boats were docked during 1988. Thirteen from 78 leaves 65. East Creek Marina income for the town; 1984: \$3,582.55; 1985: \$4,083. An increase of \$501.55. 1986: \$5,820.30. An increase of \$1,737.30. 1987: \$6,750. An increase of \$929.70. 1988: \$8,700.85. An increase of \$1,950.85. In the five years that this contract has been in operation, we have received a total of \$28,936.40. A contract that states the town bears some maintenance costs. In 1988 the tennis court adjacent to this marina was resurfaced at a \$10,000 cost to the taxpayers. The income from this town owned marina, this prime income property, did not pay the cost of maintaining the upkeep of the tennis courts let alone the beach area. I have been informed that previous lessee's have been negligent. At the present time, Larry Galasso wishes to sell the town contract to new owners for an undisclosed amount. This is very valuable waterfront. Few townspeople have use of this property. The town has derived very little income from this endeavor. It might be more prudent a thing to work out other means of increasing the income from this prime valued waterfront. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski, "Paul."

Paul Baker, "President of the Organization of Riverhead Civic Associations. I'd also like to address a few comments in reference to the resolutions pertaining to the Wading River Hamlet Study. Two years ago, we stood here at Town Hall while the Town Board reallocated \$100,000 for police cars, to set up a fund to establish a Planning Department in Riverhead Town to address the many issues that were confronting this community. They contracted the services of a professional planner from Manhattan at a cost of \$40,000 to the taxpayers of this town. The purpose of course being to bring the best of ideas to this town to remove it from the political considerations from the people who have vested interests and to instead propose to this community the ideal plan by which we could grow and face the future. This company that came here, established a task force and invited through the Town Board and Mr. Janoski, many individuals in this community to participate and to plan properly. Mr. Lombardi served on that task force for a year and a half. And after all this time, after all this effort, after all this money, they have come and proposed a plan to this town which is intelligent and which is sound and which is our first attempt at this type of planning. Will there be people who don't like parts of that plan? Absolutely. There are certainly parts of the plan, as much as I hate to admit it, that I'm not wild about. That's unfortunately the job of zoning is to do it anyway. Mr. Klein talks about a loss of money. I've been on the Ag-Task Force for the past two years and I stood here in October of '87 as the farmers spoke out against a moratorium in this community that we didn't need to stop development. We were not going to inundated with development and I accepted that. And I said gentlemen, let me help. And myself and other members of

civic associations went to the federal government and we asked them what could you do to help farmers capitalize their land in Riverhead so the land doesn't have to be held hostage. And they said; Paul, you guys are crazy. Riverhead is land rich sir. The Iowa farmer will stand in line and collect money before we'll give a nickel to the Riverhead farmer. Well, we went to the state government and we said please help them. What can we do for the farmer of Riverhead to capitalize so land doesn't have to be held hostage? And the state government said Paul, do you understand why you don't get much state aide for education in Riverhead Town for their schools? You're land rich buddy. You people are very wealthy out there on Long Island in Riverhead Town. We went to the private money lenders and we said could you please help? Is there a way that we can somehow, as taxpayers of this town, secure low interest loans for farmers to capitalize their land? And we were told Paul; two acre upzone doesn't necessarily mean a loss in revenue. In October of '87 we stood here and we listened and we accepted arguments and we voted against a moratorium in this town. Let's see what happens. For '87/'88, we did a farmland study of land use in this town where people volunteered from this community and gave up precious hour. Well, this town gave up precious resources to plan a farmland plan which I thought was ready for a public hearing. In December, the Long Island Farm Bureau preceded to attack the work of two hard years of planning in this town and to sink something for Riverhead itself. A few weeks ago we stood here while the Long Island Farm Bureau argued about yields in this town. No problem Paul. There is no inundation of applications in this town. It's a dream. The only question that has been unanswered to this point Mr. Janoski and the Town Board is why is there 3,000 applications sitting before the Planning Department of this community? Why are we talking right now about 30 to 40% increase in school taxes to stand in place next year? Why do we have these problems? Because we have stood still and not addressed intelligent land use. Mr. Klein seems to think that the Wading River Study somehow augments a new scenario in this Town, that somehow we're magically going to upzone the entire community. I don't believe that's the issue sir. I believe that \$40,000 of taxpayers money, I believe that two years of waiting and seeing the result of waiting have convinced us that without the passing of each of these proposals this evening, we have done a severe disservice to planning in the Town of Riverhead. Thank you very much."

Supervisor Janoski, "Bill."

Bill Talmage, Riverhead, "I'm a farmer from Riverhead. I'm a member of the Long Island Farm Bureau Local Affairs Committee and I also serve on the Riverhead Agricultural Task Force. At Wading River a few weeks ago I talked about the morality of taking something from people and not paying for it and I'm not going to talk about that again. I think you all know what you've got to do that's right. I want to talk about the Suffolk County ruling from the Health Department that you can't cluster on one

acre zoning and still use the remaining of the land for agriculture. That's one of the excuses that has been used for doing an upzone to two acres. Last week I was appointed to the Suffolk County Agricultural Development Task Force by Pat Halpin. Guess what is number one on my agenda for these meetings? To get that ruling by the Suffolk County Health Department overturned. We seem to have some very good support for that. We have one man's interpretation, faulty interpretation, very uninformed interpretation which I am very sure that with the power of that task force, we can get it overturned. It's relatively easy to change one person's mind in an interpretation like that. But if you base a zoning ordinance on that interpretation, trying to get a zoning ordinance undone which was based on a silly interpretation, is wrong. Paul Baker was up here. I was glad that he didn't say ORCA supports this and ORCA supports that. I think of myself as a member of ORCA. I got to their meetings. I've heard him say that ORCA supports this and I didn't remember there being a vote on it or being in a meeting where they even talked about it. So I want to talk about the \$140,000 spent in planning. The \$140,000 spent in planning is not as much money as you'd be taking from the Lewin's family. You bet we have a vested interest in this. It's people's lifesavings. It's people's collateral. Paul Baker doesn't understand farming. The people in this room understand. They know you can't compare farming in Iowa to farming on Long Island. It's a different type of farming. It requires a much different amount of capitalization as far as different collaterals. And if people make that simple minded comparison, we're going to get bad law. When we have homeowners with one acre lots trying to plan out what farmers need for equity to run their operations, what kind of law are you going to get. But what I really want to talk about is this whole thing of needs of the many outweigh the needs of the few. If that's the case, then buy the land, buy the equity and let everybody in the town pay for it. We're not arguing against planning. We're arguing against stealing. If you want to upzone the town to ten acres and it's for the good of the people and it's that much good that people can afford to pay for it, then pay for it. But don't steal the land. You're sending a dangerous signal. Farmers here tonight are scared for their loan collateral. They're scared for their retirement accounts and they've worked their whole lives. This town has not addressed these fears. You've not proven what won't happen to these people if you do this upzone. If you come in with a new plan and you want to change something and the people who are out there, say this going to hurt me very very badly. The burden of proof is on you to show that it won't hurt them. That has not been done. Instead, what we're having is we're not having attempts to address these fears in people. Instead, what we're saying is an attempt to ram it down their throat. And when this many people are out at a Town Board meeting and as many people you've seen at these Town Board meetings in the last couple of years and you still attempt to ram it down their throat regardless of their fears and you don't address those fears and you don't prove that it won't hurt them. And this is the number

on agricultural town and the number one agricultural county in the whole State of New York and farmers built this town. And in a case like that, that just says the farmers no longer have any voice. They don't even have enough voice to protect their own land values, to protect their own bank accounts. And that sends a dangerous signal to the farmers of this town. They've lost any control. You never maybe had any control to control the town but you don't even have enough political power to control your own land. Sherry Johnson in the meeting about stealing the yield said we have to protect our land. These are our resources. These are our wetlands. These are our slopes. This is our heritage and that made me furious because of the arrogance of that. It's our land. They're already assuming they own the land. When we got the \$100,000 tax bill, it was my tax bill. They weren't there then. I think that we have a severe question of ownership and property rights and right and wrong here. If this thing is just rammed down the farmers throat without regard to the fears and concerns that brings these people here tonight, I think it says a signal to run for your lives because look what happened to Southold. They just recently tried to ram ten acre zoning down their throats after they passed two acre zoning down their throats. Once you no longer have the political power to even get your fears addressed and get someone to prove to you that they won't hurt you with this zoning, then you're really in bad shape because you're in a very dangerous position. It means that other people who don't own the land, who think they own land have more power than you do and you're in an extremely dangerous position. Run for your lives. If farmers start to sell in mass, property values will start to drop just because that much property coming on the market at once could cause a saturation. Short term maybe. What happens if you're a land owner and you say the rollercoaster has gone to the top and things are starting down. That's even more incentive to sell and it can snowball. I tell the people who are trying to preserve farmland by making so the farmer can never sell land or can sell less of his land or anything else, be careful what you're doing. You're playing with nitroglycerine. These people are scared and they're bitter. They've worked their whole lives and they don't have much to show for it. They're not rich. They've got big loans against these properties. By the time Governor Cuomo gets done taking 10%, in some of these cases it's all profit because the cost basis is zero on this land. By the time there's no capital gains anymore, by the time you get done paying off your loans, a lot of farmers are lucky to walk away scott free just with their house maybe. I say be careful what you do because you could cause the very thing you're trying to prevent. And I think that each and every one of you on the Board are going to have to ask yourself; is it right to steal and is it right to take something that doesn't belong to you for no money. If it's for the good of everybody, then let everybody pay for it. And if everybody says I'm not going to pay that much money, then maybe they don't need it that much. But when they talk about \$140,000 for planning, that's spread amongst the whole town. Think about it if it was \$140,000 from your retirement plan. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski, "Yes."

Joan Schwonik, Wading River, "Supervisor Janoski, members of the Board, neighbors, friends. My name is Joan Schwonik and I'm from Wading River. First I would like to say I would have appreciated some advanced warning of the voting tonight. I read it yesterday in the Newsday and today the Farm Bureau called. I think it is of enough importance to have at least a week's notice especially since Easter is Sunday. My sister and I own 22 vacant acres. It has been in our family and we have faithfully paid taxes on it since 1913. It is now zoned industrial and is slated to become farm neighborhood zone. I'd like to say to the recent residents, those who have lived in Wading River 15 years or less, we did not oppose your invasion of our town. Now that you who live on one acre or less, can tell me that we must take a loss of several hundred thousand dollars just so you can see open space in certain areas. Would you each like to compensate us for our loss. How would you like to own a large piece of property where hunters walk through your yard unannounced, snow mobiles glide through, people stop and pick dandelions greens and others throw their garbage on your land? How would you like a moratorium where you can't sell your land for years and then have the town tell you it's worth half of what it was before. Now for the issues of two acre zoning. First, with the shortage of affordable housing, who can afford a house on two acres now or in the future with the industry and economy the way it is now. Aren't you just using up land quicker by zoning two acre? Second is clustering. For example, out of a 22 acre parcel, you could possibly get seven 40,000 square foot plots and one 11 acre farm. The people in these houses aren't going to like the farmers spraying, the noise of an irrigation pump, the smell of horses, chemicals being put in the ground close to them, the air gun scaring away the animals or the congestion of pick your own. Third, I think the way farm neighborhood zones were position, were prejudicial. They told us we have prime agricultural soil. Across the street is proposed as one acre zoning. How different can the soil be 40 feet away. I'd like to see some soil samples. I also think tree property should be preserved before cleared land. Fourth, as for the farmers, the equity in their land enables them to use it for collateral to borrow funds in which to make their livelihood. Their only equity and retirement funds are in their land. These are people who work hard from dawn to dusk throughout the growing season into fixing machinery in the winter months. Much of Riverhead was built on farmer's money and for their needs. Their taxes kept Riverhead alive. Now Riverhead wants to devalue their land and offer them nothing in return except open space and TDR's. Next time you would like a baked potato or french fries, you can call Idaho or look at some open space. I strongly urge you to vote not the farm neighborhood zone."

Rob Goldman, Denys Court, "I'd like to speak in favor of the Wading River Hamlet Study as it was presented in total. We would request that the Board follow the recommendations in total.

I think we're talking about the future of this town. Indeed everybody is talking about the future of themselves. Let's think about the total future. Do we want to live in a place where it's checkerboard development from end to end? Do we want to look like Syosset? Do we want to look like Commack? Do we want to look like Ridge? I think that's the big issue here. What is the future going to be? And a lot of people are talking about their own future and their ability to walk away from debt and I respect that and I respect the hard work they've put in. But I think I also have to respect the work that everybody in this town who lives on one acre or a quarter of an acre or a third of an acre put in. And I think they have a right to a future too. A right to a future that's not cluttered up with automobiles and shopping centers and split levels and developments every where. And I think we have rights in the future too. I didn't get to inherit 100 acres. I had to leave this town for ten years because there was nothing here for me. Now I'm back and I'm not intending to stay if it looks like Syosset. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski, "Mr. Schmelzer."

George Schmelzer, Calverton, "I understand that two speakers back, Mr. Baker, is not a farmer. He's a teacher. I suggest that he take his sabbatical leave and go into farming for five years and then go back to teaching. Maybe it will make him more realistic about farming. Many farmers are scared and they said they better sell their land fast and develop it before they get screwed."

Supervisor Janoski, "Mr. Schmelzer, watch your language please."

George Schmelzer, "Yes. I'm watching you and not the language. Pardon me. I'm putting it bluntly. They get their land stolen from them. If we had two acre zoning throughout the zoning, you'd have no land left now. Think about it. A lot of these people come here and they only like to look at the beautiful farms as long as somebody else pays for it and works it like peons or coolies. Maybe that's what they want the farmers to be. And why should a farmer suffer when these outsiders come in and they can have quarter lots, third acre lots and look at the beautiful farms and they don't want anybody else to come. Let's give the farmers two acre zoning, five, whatever it is. They're all a bunch of hypocrites. I even might suggest that you make a town ordinance that before anybody can serve on the Town Board, they must have been engaged in farming for five years. Maybe that would be a good ordinance to put in. I guess most of these people that move in look upon the farmers as dumb fools just to keep the land looking nice. And most of these outsiders I believe are hypocrites anyway. Sure they're hypocrites most of them."

Unidentified Speaker from Audience, "That's the third time you said that. That's an insult."

George Schmelzer, "Well, you must fit then."

Supervisor Janoski, "George, please address the Board."

George Schmelzer, "Well, they're addressing me, I've got to answer them. I forgot what I was going to say now. Some people we have threat of too many people. Well, if you want less people, just tell the federal government to get rid of these illegal aliens and stop the third war human garbage coming in which the people in New York City are retreating from and coming out here. If that's stopped, this development will stop dead here. And another thing, that might be a related idea, we all hear rumors Grumman leaving. I think they will. In the meantime, I think the Town Board should see to it that the airport there becomes an international airport or something just as good. That might even bring more employment and business to the town than Grumman is now. Think about it. Probably in a minute later I'll think of something else. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski, "Thank you George. While you're coming up Mr. Pekar, I have a report on the results of the bond issue which was before the voters of the school district this evening. 566 yes and 265 no. The bond issue was approved."

Bob Pekar, Calverton, "One bit of good news tonight. I obviously don't have a vested interest in farming although I'm quite familiar with it from my boyhood years. What I see happening here tonight with these resolutions is just what has happened to people who own industrial land in Riverhead. Someone buys industrial land in Riverhead, West Lane for instance, they built a plant there. Then all the people that didn't exist there before them, move into the town. And the next thing you know, they're before this Town Board and this Town Board is knuckling under to them to change the zoning. And all week after week at these meetings, all we see on this agenda is zoning change after zoning change. Now there's been talk of a master plan. Is there a master plan, a new master plan?"

Supervisor Janoski, "There's a proposal for a master plan, yes."

Bob Pekar, "Well, why don't we wait until this master plan that is in a proposal state is submitted and do everything under a controlled method? This haphazard zoning, change of zoning, upzoning, downzoning, changing this lot from industrial to residential and residential to industrial has just got to stop. Somewhere along the line, we the people of this town, would like to know what we are facing in the future. And so far, all we see from you is a bit here and a bit there. No indication of what this great master plan is. Riverhead is in dire straits. We are not going to survive. Two acre zoning, five acre zoning is a ploy. It doesn't help anyone. Yes, it might make someone's backyard look a little better but it doesn't help this town. It doesn't do anything to develop industry in this town to create

jobs, to create a tax base. All it does is serve the interest of a few people that have special interests. Now if a person owns industrial land and they can't develop it because the Town Board is going to change their zoning, they're going to get out. They're not going to come back. The same thing applies to the farmers. Let's see the master plan first. Let's see what is in store for us so we have a chance to say yea or nay and then let us decide what the future of this town is going to be. Because it's either going to have a future or it's going to be a ghost town. And right now, that future lies in your hands. One other thing just to change the subject slightly. In the local papers there has been something about a possible sewage plant located in Calverton and there has been some discussion saying that the existing plant in Riverhead has to be improved and finished and so forth and so on and what kind of plant there could be in Calverton and what not. Has a site been selected for this or is this just strictly in the talk stage?"

Councilman Lombardi, "It has not been selected. I brought that up to take a first step at it. I felt that we should hire our engineer and have him take a look at these areas and to see what could be done to put a sewer plant in that vicinity. I felt that if we do get something, we'd sit down with the Board of Health and try to work something out with what they're looking for. And then try to bring in industry in that area and to pay for the sewer plant and to pay for the study. So this was just a thing I brought up last week and I am going to bring it up at the next work session to see what the Town Board wants to do with it. If they want to make a study or they don't. Then let them vote on it. If they don't want to go that way, then they'll vote no. I feel that's the way we have to go because we do have problems in the town. We do not have industry. We do not have jobs for the people. So I think this is one way to look at it. That's my feelings on it."

Bob Pekar, "Alright. Thank you. Just for the record, I want to say that as far as I'm concerned, if there is going to be industrial development in the Calverton area and obviously the eastern portion of the town has been declared not to be developed industrial according to recent votes of this Town Board, there has to be some type of a sewer arrangement in Calverton and probably a separate plant from there present one would be a preferable way. Thank you."

Henry Pfeiffer, Wading River, "During the astronomical instant that man's million years has exist, the universe has seemed as constant and beautiful as time itself. Yet like man and everything in man's experience, the universe is an ever changing entity vastly altered by time from its primordial state. For some time now there has been a rumor as yet unconfirmed that the Town of Riverhead is part of that universe and consequently, is subject to the necessitudes of time, the laws of physics and the impact of demographics. I happen to be one of those people who will not be out in Riverhead, not have lived in Riverhead for

15 years until this coming July. In 1946 when I was discharged from the Military, the counties of Nassau and the county of Suffolk were running public auction on land and I took a trip out here in this general vicinity and I saw property and land being sold at \$10 an acre. At \$10 an acre. Today you can't get a square foot for that amount in the Town of Riverhead and certainly not in Wading River. And I direct your thoughts to what occurred in that particular period of time to bring the values of this farmland and the other property up to the rate or the values that they are today. This was done by the impact of us carpetbaggers coming into this area. It was done by the fact that we contributed by paying taxes to pave the roads, to cause improvements and so forth. And just by being here, we have caused everything to increase. The farmer by plowing his land, and incidentally, I worked on a farm when I was a boy and in 1944 I commanded a prisoner of war camp which provided all the farm labor to the State of Michigan and I know a little something about farming. I don't have any calluses on my hands. I can sympathize with the plight of the farmer. I can see where he has a problem. But yet he must be a part of those who not only takes from this increase in value of the property brought about by demographics, the impact of us carpetbaggers coming into town. He must be willing to give a little bit. And what I intrigue the Town Board to do is to look at this very very carefully from the viewpoint of the overall population, not by a single organized group or single people that may benefit or possibly suffer a little by it. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski, "Jake."

Jake Rottkamp, Calverton, "A little while ago... By the way, I'm opposed to two acre zoning. A little while ago John mentioned that we don't have the industry. We don't have the jobs here. Who can afford two acres with a big house and pay taxes if you don't have the jobs here and you don't have the industry? And I don't think it's fair to take people's values away. And whoever is in favor of that, I think there's going to be a problem. So I urge you people to leave the zoning as it is and everything will come out all right. We have a lot of open space here in Riverhead that isn't ever going to get built that will preserve the ground water. That's not going to be a problem. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski, "Mr. Wulforst."

Cyril Wulforst, Baiting Hollow, "Town Board members. I would like to set the record straight that this gentleman before had said that we as farmers bought this land for \$10 an acre. Well, I don't think he said it right or you think he doesn't know or he's lying. Back in 1952 I came to Riverhead and we bought quite some farmland at that time and there wasn't much being transferred. So it's very easy to check it up and down and we paid \$950 an acre back in 1952. Now, that's not \$10. And by today's standards, you figure 950 at that time, if it's 15 or

more this period it's not that much different in money. So I don't think we're getting that big a windfall. So I'll also add on to it, if we go to two acres in my area, I'm moving. I'm getting out. I can go to Pennsylvania. I can go to Delaware and I can grow potatoes. I can pay two dollars an acre for taxes. I've got good transportation and I don't need Long Island. So I would leave it with that."

Marvin Warner, Aquebogue, "First of all, I want to say that I didn't inherit any land. I did however, three years ago, take out a 30-year mortgage and buy some farmland and I'm farming right now and I do need the equity of my land and I do feel that a vote for this upzoning is a vote against me, a vote against the farmers of the community and a vote against agricultural. And I urge that you vote no for this upzoning. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski, "Is there anyone else wishing to be heard on any subject? Bill, is that your hand I see up?"

Bill Nohejl, Aquebogue, "I wish to let it be known that I was born a farmer. I worked on a farm all my life. We had to scratch a living from the day I was five years old. We went through bad times. I'm one of 11 in the family. Hand me down clothes. We did not have a pension plan. We do have social security. Our land is our pension. Now, how many people in this room or any place would gladly give up their pension if we gave up our zoning?"

Supervisor Janoski, "I don't see any hands Bill."

Bill Nohejl, "I bet you can't. Now, I lived in Wading River since 1964 until recently. Nobody asked me to come to Wading River. Nobody stopped me from coming to Wading River just like every other person. There has been farmland, prime farmland in the Town of Wading River, Hamlet of Wading River which has gone for residential building. In fact, a lot of people in this room live on that prime farmland. I'm talking about the Warner and Keiler farms. Now, Mr. Lewin and the rest of them have prime farmland too. What is the distinction between the two parcels? No one can tell me. Just that we're already there and we're going to try to tell everybody else you can't do it any more. That's not fair. Things have got to be equal. If we wanted nobody to come here, you should have Indians here. Don't say stop the train I'm here. That don't work. It's not going to work. And if the farmer....."

TAPE MALFUNCTIONED AND FAILED TO RECORD THE ENDING REMARKS OF THE PREVIOUS SPEAKER.

Supervisor Janoski, "Is there anyone remaining who wishes to address the Board?"

Scott Tighe, Calverton, "And I would like the Board to adapt in total, the Wading River Hamlet Study. I'd like to make

a comment that I am not a hypocrite. I am not third world trash. I am not a farmer. I am a tax paying hardworking citizen who resides in Riverhead and I pay my taxes. My taxes increase. Property taxes will continue to increase at exorbitant levels just due to the increase of development. And in America, zoning has been one effective way of keeping over development in check. There was a comment made before about if the farmers sold their land and it got to the peak and started coming down the down side of that peak and would snowball. The same holds true in being over taxed and over development. We have two snowballs. One of them has got to go to h---. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski, "I see a hand. Mrs. Anderson."

Faye Anderson, Riverhead, "I'm opposed to the two acre zoning also. Before you preserve the farmland, you have to preserve the farmer. Now if you're talking about somebody having 50% of the acreage if that man goes out of business, what do you have left to preserve? And there were a lot of comments made about inheriting 100 acres. I'd just like to let the public know a little bit about what goes into this. If somebody inherits 100 acres, say a farmer died years ago, the inheritance tax paid on that property, that property was taxed at the highest possible land use. And the amount of taxes paid on that property say 15 years ago, if that farmer had taken that money and put it in a bank and interest rates and whatever. Just think about what the value of that money would be. Doesn't he deserve to get that? Then you have the situation of the families working. I can tell you right now if my husband and I both worked on outside jobs and collected salaries for our work and the time that we have put in, we would probably be a h--- of a lot further ahead than we are right now if somebody is going to take the value of the land away because that is what we have always looked at. That's what we were working for. Not only us but our families, our children and we have five of them and they all came out and helped. And it gets to the point now that you're going to tell me I can't even give them an acre of land to build a house on. And I'd also like to bring up a point that when the race was on for Supervisor at one time, I had asked two of you a specific question. First of all, could you afford two acres of land? The answers I got were no. The second question was; if you had two acres of land, what would you do with it? There were no answers. If you're going to leave one acre grow up and just be wild, I'll tell you I can take you to acreage right now in this town which isn't pretty. It's woods maybe but the poison ivy is up over the top of your heads. Now they have Southampton talking about putting an ordinance through about if somebody doesn't mow the grass or whatever because of the Lymes Disease and the ticks and all, the town is going to mow it and send them a bill. What are you going to do with this? Because of this land isn't farmed, if you don't have a farmer on that property to farm it, that's what you're going to have. You have to preserve the farmer first."

Supervisor Janoski, "Thank you. Is there anyone else remaining who wishes to address the Board on any subject? That being the case, we are going to..... Mr. Schmelzer."

George Schmelzer, "Not the lady who just spoke but the one before her. He thinks I called him a third worlder. Well, maybe his self conscious. I didn't call him that. I said the ones coming into New York City which drives other people out here. That's what it is. I didn't inherit any farm. I had to buy it and my father was dead. After I finished high school, I worked seven or eight years on a farm for nothing just to keep it going and build it up. So I haven't got much use for people who are jealous of farmers. And confidentially, I did pay \$5 for some land but I was looked upon as a screwball when I did it. I wanted some shorefront for the ducks and the land was three miles long. So I had to buy the whole thing. You can't find any land around that's three miles long. Maybe you should have made an air field out of it. But really you spoke of zoning and it counteracts uncontrolled development. What the h---. We had all this zoning that came throughout the country after World War II. That's when you had the most development uncontrolled. What does zoning do. It was good for lawyers, planners that these towns hire planners and two years later you got to hire a planner over again to unscramble what they screwed up before. And amidst all it, the Town Board themselves don't know what to do. That's why they hired these planners. The Planning Board isn't good enough, so they've got to put a Planning Department on top of that. So I don't know which one comes first. And it gets more scrambled all the time. You need more lawyers and it gets so bad that I don't know what they're going to do. So the another reason the people come from the city, it's so bad there. Even how lousy it is here, it's better than the city. So they think it's better. The natives here know it's getting worse. Really if they got rid of these illegal aliens and all that other crap that comes in from the third world, the people would stop moving out here and then we'd have no problem. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski, "George, thank you for your comments. There was a certain hesitancy in that round of applause. Is there anyone else wishing to address the Board on any particular subject? We are going to take a recess until twenty minutes past the hour of nine at which we will take up the resolutions."

TOWN BOARD MEETING RECESSED AT 9:12
TOWN BOARD MEETING RECONVENED AT 9:47

Supervisor Janoski, "The meeting will return to order. We will now take up the resolutions."

Resolution #204-#210 found on pages 286- 294 of the
1989 resolution book.

#211 AUTHORIZES ASSIGNMENT OF LEASE RE: EAST CREEK MARINA.

Councilwoman Civiletti, "I motion to amend. Pursuant to our discussion at the work session yesterday, we agreed that this resolution would be amended so that one of the contractual changes required in conjunction with this assignment consent, would give the town the right to terminate the contract with the lessee based on the lessee's default in certain important provisions in the contract. Right now the way the lease reads, the town has the right to terminate based only on the lessee's default in payment as stipulated in the contract. We agreed, and I would amend 211 to change the contract to give the town to right to terminate the lease based on the lessee's default in performing any of these substantial covenants in the contract such as provision of insurance and such as allowing Riverhead residents first dibs (essentially) at boat slips. That's the substance of my motion to amend."

The vote, Boschetti, yes, Pike, yes, Civiletti, yes, Lombardi, yes, Janoski, yes.

The amendment was thereupon duly declared adopted.

Councilman Pike, "I want to express publicly my reservations about the assignment here that haven't been solved by the amendment and suggest one; either a two week delay to try to solve them by tabling. The basic problem here is that we have a town asset, a marina itself which has been franchised out basically to a private individual. The concept of privatization is one way to provide services at less cost to the public. The Galasso's have had that franchise for quite some time and have done so successfully. The marina runs well. I have no problem with their management. I do have problems with a few things, specific things. One is the fact that about 40% of the people who currently put their docks at the marina are not town residents unless you count the time they spend on their boat. And this is a town municipal facility. It should be given at every opportunity on a first come first serve basis to Riverhead residents because we're supporting it. And what we've done here does not sufficiently do that. I believe that ought to be provided for. That won't be easy because basically what Mr. Galasso is doing is selling his business to somebody else and he's counting on the cash flow from the people who would be displaced by such a procedure, the out of towners who would be displaced and replaced by in towners. He's counting on that cash flow. Certainly the buyer is counting on that cash flow to make the deal work for him when he buys it so he can pay Mr. Galasso. My solution might take some work and this resolution doesn't have it. There's another fundamental problem which is to my way of reading their resumes and what we've heard about them. The folks are largely inexperienced in either management or in marina operations. I and Larry have been around boats all our lives and I think we all realize the value of the experience. I'm very concerned about the qualifications of these particular people to run a facility that the town, in the final analysis, will be liable for if we don't handle it as well as we can. And if in fact these people don't work out as well as we would hope they

would and as it is suggested to us that they would be able to, that we stand to be at the wrong end of a serious liability suit if something goes wrong and their insurance which is now being raised to a million dollars from three hundred thousand, runs out. Frankly, what we all want I think is a really well run marina for town residents first. I don't think the way we've structured this yet does that and I'm concerned about the overall transition here and the lack of guarantees about performance. Denise has solved one of them but not all of them. So I'll move to table to work it out."

Councilman Pike offered resolution #211 to be tabled which received no second.

Councilman Boschetti, "I just want to point out that I too have reservations. And although this is... I don't intend my vote to be a slight against this couple because they seem to be a nice and genuine and sincere couple and I'm sure they really want to do a fine job for the town. However, when you're responsible for town owned facilities, I think you have to use a little bit more discretion because it is (after all) public property. I too in reading the resumes of these two particular individuals, have noted that there is in particular, no experience in marina management. But most importantly, no experience in general management at all. And because of that, this should be taken as no surprise to the applicant because I have expressed my reservations. And again, it's no slight against the applicant or this couple at all and I hope they understand that. I vote no."

Councilman Pike, "I agree with Lou says. And simply say that I think there's a better structure of a solution available to us and I would vote for such a thing. I will not vote for this. I vote no."

Councilman Lombardi, "I have to say that I've been on the Board since Mr. Galasso has taken over this marina and I feel he's done a great job with it. And Mr. Galasso, I have to say, did have no experience of running a marina and he done a great job. And I feel that these people who are going to be taking it over will do the same thing. I vote yes."

The vote, Boschetti, no, Pike, no, Civiletti, yes, Lombardi, yes, Janoski, yes.

The resolution was thereupon duly declared adopted.

Resolution #212-#225 found on pages 295-312 of the 1989 Resolution Book.

#225 ESTABLISHES PROPOSED TOWN OF RIVERHEAD BOUNDARIES FOR PECONIC RIVER PROGRAM.

Councilman Pike, "This is perhaps one example of a state regulation going quite a bit too far. And one of the rare examples of almost an entire unanimity of this Town Board, we went over the maps and basically tried to serve the purposes of preserving the wild, scenic, recreational rivers act without having the cost of locking in such wild and scenic uses as propane storage tanks and industrial buildings, old nursing homes that might stand rehab or rehabilitation. The line is pulled back to a more reasonable one. Each of the members of the Board have agreed on this line and their ability to, through the slight disagreements that we have at the hearing. But that is really the most important thing. It is a hearing about whether we will as a unity, regain local control over major portions of the downtown and West Main Street are and a small portion of already development land in Calverton. And further, whether or not we'll be able to use our local expertise, our knowledge in how to redevelop those areas. It is instrumental to the TDR portion of the farm program because one of the receiving areas needs to be outside of this line for it to work. And all the things we disagree on, I think the idea of TDR receiving and poorly developed areas is a good one and many people of endorsed that. In order to make that happen, this line has to be moved. For a lot of reasons and we all have our own. We wish to invite you all to a hearing on our alternative and unanimous proposal. The hearing is on March 29th beginning here at 1 o'clock in the afternoon and again at 7 o'clock at night. The hearing is being held by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, a gentleman named Vern Yousik who you will get to know and love. And we'd invite you to be here to oppose their designation line and to support the town alternative as being one to better serve the purposes of redeveloping Riverhead Town. If anybody has questions about the line, I can describe it. Basically, the D.E.C. proposed a line that began at Peconic Avenue, ran along the north side of Main Street over to Raynor Avenue turned north at Raynor under the bridge up to Pulaski Street and then headed over toward Pulaski Street until you get to Industrial Boulevard past to Mill. Dive down Mill excluding Glenwood but including the industrial area south of Glenwood across the south end of Glenwood up again north to about a half mile away from the river. It's half way up the raceway property. Following there over to basically the expressway terminus. Most of what is to the west of that we agree on. But this area is Riverhead. It is the industrial development area in Riverhead. It is the hamlet redevelopment area in Riverhead. It is the place of some industrial development that though it's wild, it's definitely not the kind of wild that this rivers act was intending to preserve. The new line that we're proposing shifts over control to the town beginning not at the Peconic, but moves it west over to the bridge at the Library building and the bridge over to the County Center. So we've regained total control of the hard core downtown area. It then runs along the southerly side of Main Street until you get to the property that I think of as, the three properties, the duck farm on West Main Street. It runs up to the Railroad line, across the railroad, back down

south to Main Street and follows Main Street until the expressway. Generally speaking, it allows us to develop, would allow us to redevelop the areas on the north side of Main Street. There have been a lot of ideas about how to move the inappropriate development off the river side of Main Street with another kind of transfer of development rights proposal. That would provide riverview from both the river of town and the town to the river. That is basically the proposal that we have worked on to offer as a reasonable alternative to that proposed by the D.E.C. Again, the hearing is at 1 o'clock on the 29th and again at 7 o'clock the same day. I move resolution 225."

The vote, Boschetti, yes, Pike, yes, Civiletti, yes, Lombardi, yes, Janoski, yes.

The resolution was thereupon duly declared adopted.

Councilwoman Civiletti, "Resolution 226 would adopt the amendments to the Wading River Business "CR" zoning use district which amendments were part of the Wading River Hamlet Study recommendations that were the subject of much discussion both here tonight and at the public hearing that was held in Wading River. At yesterday's work session, we discussed the advisability of putting forth separate resolutions for the various proposals involving the Wading River Town's study and recommendations. It was my understanding that the Planning Director was going to prepare separate resolutions as we have in our package this evening and also one sort of omnibus resolution which would have adopted the proposals for the Wading River Hamlet Study recommendations in total. We don't such a resolution. So in addition to moving resolution #226, I would like to move out of order at this time, resolution numbers 239, 240, 241, 242 and 243 which are adoptions of amendments to the change of zone from Business "CR" to Multi-family Residential/Professional Office in Wading River along the Route 25A Corridor which are amendments changing existing Resident "B" zoning use district and Industrial "A" (portions of Industrial "A") to Farm Neighborhood zoning use district as proposed. Amendments changing Business "CR" to Highway Commercial Service zoning use district. An amendment changing Business "C" and Industrial "A" to Business "CR" and an amendment changing Residence "B" and Industrial "A" to Residence "A" as depicted on the maps that were published in the paper. With that being said, I move 226, 239, 240, 241, 242 and 243."

Councilman Pike, "Seconded."

Supervisor Janoski, "Moved and seconded. For the purpose of...."

Councilman Boschetti, "Mr. Chairman, what's being moved? The request to go out of order or the resolutions themselves? Because if that's true, there's a point of order raised. What's the purpose?"

Councilwoman Civiletti, "Well, I was attempting to move the resolutions. So the point of order being taking them out of order."

Supervisor Janoski, "You're correct in.... I'm almost talking to myself."

Councilman Boschetti, "I don't understand the purpose here. What's the purpose? Just to keep them in order?"

Supervisor Janoski, "It's an interesting move that Councilwoman Civiletti has made and this is a move to have one single resolution on all the questions regarding the Wading River Hamlet Study. I do want to point out to the Board that 240 has been moved and that is the resolution on the question of Farm Neighborhood Zone. I see no reason why the Councilwoman can not move resolutions out of order. I would, in the absence of the Town Attorney and being able to get further legal advice, would accept that she has made those motions. We've got a motion to second. Any further discussion? And once again I want to point out to the Board because the Board should know that included in these motions is the Farm Neighborhood zone which is number 240. No further discussion, call the roll."

Councilman Boschetti, "Mr. Chairman, to be honest, I'm somewhat dismayed that this entire packet has found its way on our agenda tonight. Inasmuch as it has, I would ask that you entertain a motion to table resolution 240. At a work session last Thursday, this Board agreed that we would not entertain these resolutions until the entire Board, all five members, had an opportunity to discuss this particular resolution. Inasmuch as at least two Board members registered problems with the language of it. While this did not reflect my support or lack of support for this resolution, I do think we should honor that commitment that was made. Therefore, I move to table 240."

Councilman Lombardi, "Seconded."

Supervisor Janoski, "You're seconding the tabling. There is a motion before the Town Board to table resolution 240. Any discussion? Call the roll."

Councilman Pike, "Discussion. If Mr. Boschetti is correct that we shouldn't move on any of it because we haven't met, we shouldn't move, on one part of it because we haven't discussed it, it would be true that we shouldn't move on any of it. I would therefore, vote no on the motion to table just one part of it. I think this thing deserves to have the people know what people think, up or down for the entire package. I vote no on the motion to table."

The vote to TABLE, Boschetti, yes, Pike, no, Civiletti, no, Lombardi, yes, Janoski, no.

The motion to table was thereupon duly declared DENIED.

Councilman Boschetti, "Move to recess. Move to recess."

Supervisor Janoski, "There's a motion to take a recess."

Councilman Boschetti, "Vote and we'll discuss it."

Councilman Pike, "What do you want to discuss? We have two votes in this; aye or nay. The motion to recess? As long as we vote on the whole thing tonight, I'm willing to have a recess. I'll talk to anybody to get this thing straightened out."

Councilwoman Civiletti, "I feel that I've discussed this so much today that ten more minutes of discussion of the subject is going to..... I don't know. I think it's time to vote and I vote no."

Supervisor Janoski, "What is the vote now? Two to two?"

Irene Pendzick, "Three to one. You're off the hook."

Councilman Pike, "I would point out that a recess is not executive session and that whatever discussion we have is in public."

Supervisor Janoski, "Absolutely. Well, I'll just abstain then if I don't count."

The vote to RECESS, Boschetti, yes, Pike, yes, Civiletti, no, Lombardi, yes, Janoski, abstain.

The motion to recess is thereupon duly declared ADOPTED.

TOWN BOARD MEETING RECESSED AT 9:45

TOWN BOARD MEETING RECONVENED AT 10:15

Supervisor Janoski, "All right. I'm going to try to explain where we are right now and where we're headed. Councilwoman Civiletti has made a motion of all five resolutions dealing with the Wading River Hamlet Study. The intent is to consider the proposals of the Wading River Hamlet Study as one unit and one vote. I am opposed to that and I believe other members of the Board also. We had planned to vote on these issues separately. So there is a motion before the Board which has been moved and seconded and the issue to consider all five resolutions at one time."

Councilwoman Civiletti, "We don't have a motion to consider all five resolutions at one time. We have all five moved and seconded."

Supervisor Janoski, "Is there a motion to call the roll on each one of those resolutions separately?"

Irene Pendzick, "May I remind you that you have a move to recess after a motion that has been seconded. So you have the

motion on the floor and seconded to move all five resolutions. That motion either has to be moved or rescinded or tabled. Well, you don't table a motion. You table the resolution which I don't think is your intent. All five are on the table."

Supervisor Janoski, "What we are doing as far as I understand...."

Councilman Boschetti, "A no vote still accomplishes the same thing."

Supervisor Janoski, "It defeats all six. Then we take them up separately."

Councilman Boschetti, "We never had a motion to lump them together. So we just can't...."

Irene Pendzick, "You have lumped together other resolutions at various times tonight."

Councilman Boschetti, "Not out of sequence."

Irene Pendzick, "What difference does it make?"

Councilman Boschetti, "It makes a difference because we have an agenda and that's the way we vote and I think you need a motion to take them out of sequence which we didn't have."

Irene Pendzick, "Well, we've never done it before but it's up to the Supervisor. He is the presiding officer."

Supervisor Janoski, "Basically what I think we have before us is a motion for all six at one time in one package. We vote on that. I hope it's defeated so we can do it the way it's on the agenda."

Irene Pendzick, "Well, I don't see it that way Mr. Supervisor."

Supervisor Janoski, "How do you see it?"

Irene Pendzick, "You have all six resolutions, all six resolutions have been moved and seconded. They are now ready for a vote. If you vote no, I go on to the next number. They are voted no."

Councilman Boschetti, "What happens to the ones in between?"

Irene Pendzick, "They will be entertained next."

Councilman Boschetti, "Which one?"

Irene Pendzick, "Number 227."

Supervisor Janoski, "I basically don't agree with that."

Councilman Boschetti, "I don't agree with it either. We don't have a motion to take them out of sequence."

Irene Pendzick, "You can't vote twice on them."

Supervisor Janoski, "Sure you can."

Irene Pendzick, "Well, you can do whatever you want but that's my... I just want to advise you that once you have voted, that's like saying you're going back to number 214 and vote again."

Councilman Boschetti, "Mr. Supervisor, if the chair can make a ruling, you can put it to a vote of this body as to whether or not we think that this motion is in fact on all six or whether we think it's a motion to put them all together. That's the way to resolve it."

Supervisor Janoski, "Are you making that motion?"

Councilman Boschetti, "I will make that motion."

Supervisor Janoski, "Is there a second to that motion?"

Councilman Pike, "Mr. Supervisor, point of order. Like I said, watch laws and sausages being made. We have a pending motion to adopt all six at one vote. Okay. We have to vote on that or get it off the table somehow."

Councilman Boschetti, "It's out of order to begin with."

Supervisor Janoski, "Listen. I am ready to vote on each issue separately. Would you like to reconsider what you're doing here?"

Councilwoman Civiletti, "No. I think what I did has a lot of merit."

Supervisor Janoski, "All right. This is the way we're going to proceed and I hope it stands up under the scrutiny of whoever makes the rules. Councilwoman Civiletti has moved the six resolutions to be a package of one. That as I understand, her intent. Is that correct?"

Councilwoman Civiletti, "That's right, all six."

Supervisor Janoski, "Which would force the Board to vote on each of those issues as one package. It is my belief that that motion if defeated, would allow us to go back and consider each resolution separately. That is the way I'm going to rule on it and that is the way I'm going to proceed. Please call the roll."

Councilman Boschetti, "Under the interpretation of the Supervisor and the ruling of the chair, I vote no."

The vote on resolutions #226, #239, #240, #241, #242, #243: Boschetti, no, Pike, yes, Civiletti, yes, Lombardi, no, Janoski, no.

The six resolutions were thereupon duly declared DENIED as a packet.

Supervisor Janoski, "Now, if we can go back to where we had started off with the resolution on the "CR" zone."

Irene Pendzick, "You want to re-entertain the same resolution?"

Supervisor Janoski, "Yes."

Irene Pendzick, "Starting at resolution 226 or 214?"

Supervisor Janoski, "226."

#226 ADOPTS AMENDMENTS TO WADING RIVER BUSINESS "CR" ZONING USE DISTRICT.

Councilman Pike, "I just want to clear up something. There was an objection made that we didn't have enough time to discuss and talk about all of these. I still believe this entire package is worthy of a full vote. After discussion, the only resolution that seems to have some legal reason for moving along is this one. Is that correct."

Supervisor Janoski, "Uh huh."

Councilman Pike, "But it is the desire of the Board to move ahead on all of the other ones even though this is the only one?"

Supervisor Janoski, "Well, I'm prepared to vote on each and every one of them. I can only speak for myself."

Councilman Pike, "All right. I think it's time to talk about the future of the Hamlet of Wading River. We have, over the last two years, challenged everybody including everybody in this room to get involved one way or another with determining the future of their hamlet. It's interesting to watch that process go along and it is certainly controversial as much of your testimony here tonight speaks. We're going to have to vote on all of these things. So I'd like to talk about them as a package. This was probably the best community task force that we've ever had. It has, as far as I know, unanimously recommended all of what we just apparently voted down. I think that's unfortunate. I think the community task force and the

representative of the people in the Planning Departments when they get together, should have their work respected. Obviously all we're really coming to is the two acre upzone. And I think I know enough about how the vote will go tonight to say that the upzoning is, because of the protest position, going to be held off and will not be approved. And that being the most controversial issue, that being the one that has really caused all of the debate tonight. I think it's unfortunate that we can't really hammer out what all of that means to everybody. Clearly tonight is not going to be the time or place and I can just tell you because I know enough about how I believe people are going to vote. And if nobody changes, it will not succeed tonight. It will however, get majority support unless somebody changes his mind. And the legal technique of having your attorney try at a protest petition which is your right, has worked. It will not succeed because of that. I'm fully to vote ma'am. I would simply say to all of you is that what we are doing is continuing the process of disagree, of continuing the debate about the future of that little segment of Wading River. But as to 99% of it which I think was an admirable job by those who worked on it, I'm in favor of 100 and I would support 100. I will vote for this portion of all of it by voting yes."

The vote on resolution #226, Boschetti, yes, Pike, yes, Civiletti, yes, Lombardi, yes, Janoski, yes.

The resolution was thereupon duly declared adopted.

RESOLUTIONS #226-#238 found on pages 315-341 of the 1989 Resolution Book.

#239 ADOPTS AMENDMENTS TO RIVERHEAD TOWN CODE AND ZONING USE DISTRICT MAP (BUSINESS "CR" TO MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL PROFESSIONAL OFFICE-WADING RIVER).

#240 ADOPTS AMENDMENTS TO RIVERHEAD TOWN CODE AND ZONING USE DISTRICT MAP (RESIDENCE "B" AND INDUSTRIAL "A" TO FARM NEIGHBORHOOD - WADING RIVER).

#241 ADOPTS AMENDMENTS TO RIVERHEAD TOWN CODE AND ZONING USE DISTRICT MAP (BUSINESS "CR" TO HIGHWAY COMMERCIAL/SERVICE WADING RIVER).

#242 ADOPTS AMENDMENT TO ZONING USE DISTRICT MAP FROM BUSINESS "C" AND INDUSTRIAL "A" TO BUSINESS "CR"-WADING RIVER.

#243 ADOPTS AMENDMENT TO ZONING USE DISTRICT MAP FROM RESIDENCE "B" AND INDUSTRIAL "A" TO RESIDENCE A" - WADING RIVER.

Councilwoman Civiletti, "I have them as a package as the Town Clerk pointed out, along with several other packages of resolutions that we had tonight. Including the most recent one on the water ones. I've got 239, 240, 241, 242 and 243 together.

And what I was attempting to do before, was to bring the resolution pertaining to "CR" into this packet. I am, for reasons stated previously, not willing to move them individually. So I would defer to whoever might be."

Resolution #239 was moved by Supervisor Janoski and seconded by Councilman Lombardi.

The vote, Boschetti, yes, Pike, yes, Civiletti, yes, Lombardi, yes, Janoski, yes.

The resolution was thereupon duly declared adopted.

Resolution #240 was moved by Councilwoman Civiletti which was seconded by Councilman Pike.

Councilman Lombardi moved to table resolution 240 which was seconded by Councilman Boschetti.

Councilwoman Civiletti, "I think if this is going to be tabled, we should table the entire package and it's too late for that. I vote no."

Supervisor Janoski, "I'm prepared to vote on this resolution with indifference to the members of the Board who wish to discuss it. I will support the motion to table, yes."

The vote, Boschetti, yes, Pike, no, Civiletti, no, Lombardi, yes, Janoski, yes.

The resolution was thereupon duly declared TABLED.

Resolution #241 was moved by Councilman Lombardi which was seconded by Councilman Boschetti.

The vote, Boschetti, yes, Pike, yes, Civiletti, yes, Lombardi, yes, Janoski, yes.

The resolution was thereupon duly declared adopted.

Resolution #242 was moved by Councilman Lombardi which was seconded by Councilman Boschetti

The vote, Boschetti, yes, Pike, yes, Civiletti, yes, Lombardi, yes, Janoski, yes.

The resolution was thereupon duly declared adopted.

Resolution #243 was moved by Councilman Lombardi which was seconded by Councilman Boschetti.

The vote, Boschetti, yes, Pike, yes, Civiletti, yes, Lombardi, yes, Janoski, yes.

The resolution was thereupon duly declared adopted.

Supervisor Janoski, "Without objection, this meeting is adjourned."

There being no further business on motion or vote, the meeting adjourned at 10:55 p.m.

IJP:nm



Irene J. Pendzick
Town Clerk